Q and other similar types exposed

Wed 9:20 am +00:00, 13 Aug 2025

posted by pete fairhurst 2

Source: https://mileswmathis.com/lafrance.pdf

From 2020 but still relevant today

What is a Parallel?
by Miles Mathis
First published July 8, 2020

Some may think this should be on my science/math site, but hang on. It has nothing to do with that sort of parallel. I have previously shown you what an Anti is, and a Parallel is like that. It is a psychological operations term. An Anti is an agent hired to promote a set of ideas that Intelligence wishes to blackwash. So the Anti spends several years promoting those ideas, then suddenly ends up in a mental institution or shoots up a Chuck-E-Cheese. His audience then links the ideas he was promoting to craziness or violence. You see how it works.

I first used the term for Ezra Pound, in this paper. Ezra was a premier Anti, and one of the best ever. He spent a lot of time screaming at Jews and banks, and then went crazy and had to be sent to the funny farm. So most normal people saw those headlines and figured that only crazy people say bad things about Jews or banks. But the amusing thing is, if we look closer, Ezra was working for. . . the Jews and the banks. In fact, he was a Jew from a family of bankers. He pretended to be the opposite of what he was, then pretended to be crazy and pretended to go to a mental hospital (though in his fake trial, he was never convicted of anything). Acting! That is how they blackwash their opposition. They infiltrate it and blow it from the inside.

This is admitted. The CIA admits it does things like this. They are called covert ops. Well, another type of covert operation is the creation of a Parallel. Say you have someone you wish to destroy, but you don't want to give him any publicity at all. So you don't even want to mention his name. You don't want to send any traffic his way, because that would be counterproductive. You may also not want to address him directly because you know you can't defeat him directly. If the debate takes place in the open, your agents will lose, and you know that. So you can't risk letting the public see anything proceed naturally. Everything has to remain in the dark, hence the term "covert". So, what you do is, you create a Parallel: someone who looks or acts a lot like the person you wish to destroy, and says many of the same things. There have to be a lot of parallels or similarities, because one of the things you want to do is shift the audience of your target over to your Parallel.

Once you have created your Parallel and salted him for a few months or years, you then attack him instead of your target. The audience will naturally see the similarities between your target and the Parallel, so they will conflate them, letting your attack on the Parallel stand for an attack on both. The difference is, you can now control the response of your Parallel. Where you couldn't defeat the target, you can appear to defeat the Parallel. You can begin making the Parallel say stupid things, make bad predictions, make suspicious alliances, and so on. You blackwash your puppet instead of your target. And you hope that when the Parallel falls, his fall will take down your target as well.

To make the Parallel as seductive as possible, Intelligence will use all of its psychological tricks. It will create mystery, because people love mystery. It will create intrigue, because people love intrigue. It will tart up its Parallel with mysticism, cryptic messages, parables, paradoxes, riddles, and games, because people love those, too. But most of all, it will manufacture controversy, because people love controversy second only to sex, and most modern people love it more.

If you don't see where I am going with this, you are about to.

In June, The Atlantic's cover story was on Q-Anon.
They actually tell you in the title that "Q-Anon is more important than you think". Really? You need to ask yourself why the mainstream media is promoting Q-Anon. He has also been promoted by many other top mainstream sources. Does that make any sense? Does it fit the storyline? This dangerous "conspiracy theorist", possibly a mole in Intelligence himself, is going to be promoted by the mainstream media? Why?

Because Q is a Parallel. I know because he is Paralleling. . . me.

In the above graphic, it says "How Q-Anon is warping reality and discrediting science." That's one way I know, because although Q is indeed warping reality, it is not he who is discrediting science, but me. Q doesn't know the first thing about science, and rarely addresses it. I am the one exploding mainstream science, but I am not doing it by warping reality. I am doing it by unwarping the warped reality the mainstream has been selling you for a century. They know that, but they can't address me directly and honestly, because any sensible person can tell I am right. While Q's illogic spills off his pages in great streams of piss, my logic sways anyone who comes near it: which is why they can't let anyone come near it.

At the Atlantic, they admit Q didn't arise until around 2017, when he coagulated out of the Pizzagate

Search the Tap

Search ... Search

Submit News

Buy the Tap a Coffee

Support the Tap

Help keep the Tap blog online

Donate Now ›

Secure Donation

Newsletter

The Tap Newsletter

Get the latest news daily

Email Address

Subscribe Now

About the Tap

The Tap is and independent blog run and managed by Alternative View Media. A UK based events production team dedicated to fakery exposure, and searching for alternative truths.

If you like what we do please come along to one of our events or let us manage and produce your own event.

Events: www.alternativeview.co.uk

Event Production: www.alternativeviewmedia.co.uk

Buy us a Ko-fi

POM project against me started, peaking in 2018 with reams of incredible libel—which of course went nowhere. Which confirmed that they couldn't make any progress attacking me directly. That is also when the Weisbecker project started, as well as the RatWiki project. Both those projects also backfired, confirming once again that a Parallel was necessary.

I also know this because at that time the spooks in my inbox began running baited hooks my way almost daily, trying to get me to bite. They wanted to tie me to Trump, or trannies, or pedophilia, or Pizzagate, or Flat Earth, or a thousand other things. They wanted to interview me, so that I could be tied to this or that spook, who could then implode. They wanted to quote me in their books, or publish me on their websites, or underwrite me, or advertise on my site. But I told them all to fuck off, spoiling those plans as well.

So now they are doubling down on this Q-Anon project, and other similar ones. They are instructing their agents to mirror me in as many ways as they can, short of actually linking to me and admitting I was right all along. Since they couldn't infiltrate me or blackwash me with direct libel, they doing their best to surround me with noise. Rather than in-filtrate me, they are ex-filtrating me, meaning they are trying to create a group around me, so that that group can then be blackwashed. Anything but address what I am actually saying, which is "outside their pay grade". Meaning, beyond their abilities.
Their Q project is also failing, since no real person is linking me to Q. I can't believe they still aren't aware of that. I pushed Q away explicitly from the beginning, outing him long ago. By attacking Trump and Pizzagate, as well as many other Q projects, I built a wall there forevermore.

But they haven't gotten that message. Either that, or they spent so much money manufacturing this entity Q, they figure they might as well see him to his planned end. Even if his eventual explosion doesn't send any shrapnel my way, it might do some small damage to the Truther movement, they hope.

Unfortunately, even that is backfiring, and although the whole Q thing is a farce, it is actually creating MORE Truthers, and therefore more readers for me. Some Q followers will no doubt slink back to the mainstream with their tails between their legs when Q inevitably hits the wall. But my guess is a majority of them will still be hungry for the truth, and they will finally graduate to the real thing: again, me.

Which is why I have never attacked Q supporters themselves too viciously. While making sure to separate myself from his specific ideas, I otherwise have have not concentrated too much fire in that direction. Why? Because I have longer sight than these bozos at Intel, who always shoot themselves in the foot. I could see from the beginning that Q's people, though gullible, were mostly on the right track. They were hungry for the truth: so hungry, in fact, that they gulped down the first thing offered them, without giving it a good sniff. Although it smelled of spam instead of steak, they took it anyway, in their hunger. But their hunger is my friend, since all I have to do is educate it, you see.

Here is some more of that education. The Atlantic leads off its cover story on Q by repeating the tale of gunman Edgar Maddison Welch, who was allegedly arrested for firing a gun in the Comet Ping Pong pizza parlor in DC. Unfortunately, if we search on him, Google itself lists him in the sidebar at the top of the search page—but not as a gunman. As a screenwriter and actor who worked on various movies, including The Bleeding. He is listed at IMDB. His parents are given as Harry L. Welch, Jr., and Terri Welch. Harry is also a writer and actor, listed at IMDB. So do we have the wrong Edgar Maddison Welch? No, since the picture matches the Comet gunman.

Does the author at The Atlantic bother to tell you any this? No. Adrienne LaFrance, executive editor of the magazine, wants you to believe Edgar is a "deeply religious father of two". Yes, because deeply religious people always write screenplays with titles like The Bleeding. I encourage you to watch the trailer at IMDB, to see how deeply religious it is. He has also worked on The Mill, with his father as the lead writer, which is a deeply religious. . . slasher film.

Not a very good start to this article, eh? Adrienne LaFrance, like Q himself, seems to have a very low opinion of your intelligence.

So who is Adrienne LaFrance? Well, her bio is very limited. She doesn't want to tell us much, including her DOB, parents, or years in school. Her Wiki bio is just a stub. We do know she came out of the Nieman Foundation at Harvard, which is housed in the Walter Lippmann House. Nieman founded the Milwaukee Journal. So we have enough to go on already. But she is also connected to the Aspen Institute, since she has a bio posted there. Another huge red flag, since the Aspen Institute is yet another bastion of cloaked fascism and a spook hangout. It was founded and is run by very wealthy Jews. It is funded by the Gates Foundation, Carnegie Foundation, Ford Foundation, and Rockefeller Foundation. Any questions?

But back to Edgar Welch. We are told he was sentenced to four years in 2017, so he should still be in jail. But they never tell us where he was incarcerated, and he doesn't come up on any search. Obviously, this whole thing was faked, and Welch was never in jail. He was probably never in the restaurant either.

LaFrance then brings up Obama and the birther issue, telling you that was all another tempest in a teapot. Despite Trump saying Obama had been born in Africa, she implies that was proved wrong. But she is wrong (or lying to your face, actually). It was never proved in court, since all the judges conveniently denied standing to all who sued, although as voters they should have had immediate standing. And it was never proved in the media, since all the documents published there were proved to be fake. Not one was a legal document. I recently discovered that Tim Dowling, one of the premier genealogists in the world, and cousin to many English and Scottish kings (the Stuarts), admits on his huge site [Geneanet] that Obama was born in Kenya.

Officials in Kenya have admitted he was born there. Old newspapers admit he was born there. Obama's opponent in his Senate race, Alan Keyes, has testified that Obama admitted he was born in Kenya during debates. Obama's literary agent Acton and Dystel originally listed Kenya as his birthplace in published documents. So LaFrance is once again assuming you know nothing, or will take her word for anything.

LaFrance then segues into Corona, telling you the same sort of people began "burbling" that the pandemic was not real. She wants you to understand that since Edgar Welch was wrong about Comet Ping Pong and Trump was wrong about Obama, these people must be wrong about Corona. But that wouldn't follow regardless. She should have to show some evidence even if the previous two examples held. Because two things are false doesn't prove three things are. But, as you have seen, her two examples don't hold, so her argument is just the sad attempt at a hypnosis. That is all you ever get with

Buy a Ko-Fi for Alternative View Media

One time Monthly

£5 each - 1 +

£ 5

Your name or nickname

Your message

Donate £5

Powered by Ko-fi (https://ko-fi.com?ref=poweredby)

Events in the UK

Gary Fraughen Free Event in Glastonbury

Date: 12 October 2025
Time: 11:00 - 15:30
Location: The Assembly Rooms Glastonbury
More info

Author and speaker Gary Fraughen has a new book coming soon. "**Jesuit Cipher and the Shadows of the Grey Pope**" is well underway and due for print in late July. Gary will be doing a free talk and book promotion event on Sunday the 12th October in Glastonbury. Subscribe to Gary's newsletter for info on the new book and free talk as its released.

https://garyfraughen.co.uk/glastonbury-free-talk-12th-october-2025

+++ FREE EVENT +++

Latest Comments

Kneepad on Has the treatment of Lucy Letby been 'a miscarriage of justice'? | LBC

Tapestry on British Lions Awaken

Belyi on Has the treatment of Lucy Letby been 'a miscarriage of justice'? | LBC

ian on Has the treatment of Lucy Letby been 'a miscarriage of justice'? | LBC

ian on Your Body's Hidden Technology Scalar Field Between Your Hands.

The beginning of the next paragraph is especially funny, all things considered.

The power of the internet was understood early on, but the full nature of that power—its ability to shatter any semblance of shared reality, undermining civil society and democratic governance in the process—was not.

Hah. But of course that is exactly why Military Intelligence invented the internet. And it has done that job perfectly from the beginning. And Adrienne LaFrance's job is just a cohort to it, since she is the same sort of transparent agent, doing her part to undermine reality and democracy. She tries to flip you here, but does such a terrible and hamhanded job of it, it is laughable. She wants you to think Truthers are the real danger to democratic governance, but no one awake could possibly believe Truthers are a greater danger than Intelligence and its ownership of the media. Does she think any real person is going to buy the argument that independent researchers on the web are more dangerous to democratic governance than the 6 million domestic Intelligence agents working in this country, or the millions working in the mainstream media, or the millions working for the government? Does she really expect us to believe a few people at 4chan or 8chan or whatever are more dangerous to our shared reality than the tens of thousands of paid agents working in psychological operations units on every military base in the world?

LaFrance then says this:

It is a movement united in mass rejection of reason, objectivity, and other Enlightenment values.

Cue laugh track. By "it", she means Q, not Intelligence, but this is exactly, precisely, what Intel is doing. That is pretty much the definition of Operation Chaos, which has been the overarching umbrella project of Intel since the 1950s. And they admit that in declassified documents. So it is literally beyond belief that LaFrance thinks she has any leg to stand on in saying this. As a prominent member of the mainstream media, her bona fides to talk about reason, objectivity, or the Enlightenment simply do not exist. The mainstream media hasn't even the most tenuous connection to any of those things, and never has. The media has been lying to us about everything from the beginning, as I have shown. These people like LaFrance care no more about reason or objectivity than they care about learning to write. Those things are just empty words to them, since they have never had any use for them. The only things they care about are spin and other psychological tricks, as LaFrance is proving even as we go down her page. She isn't even trying to make sense, since she believes she doesn't need to. She thinks she can just snow you. She has been taught by her instructors at Langley or wherever that she can just yap for a few pages and everyone will bow down before her because she works at The Atlantic. When her little article self-destructs, she will be mystified. She had thought that because she was a woman from top families, people had to believe her. It is impolite, nay, politically incorrect, not to.
The main trick LaFrance—or whoever is writing this garbage—uses, or overuses, is to flip the truth 180 degrees. Whatever she is doing, she accuses Q's people of doing. So, for instance, she says:
The group harnesses paranoia to fervent hope and a deep sense of belonging. The way it breathes life into an ancient preoccupation with end-times is also radically new. To look at QAnon is to see not just a conspiracy theory but the birth of a new religion.

If you tweak that just a little bit, it is true. All you have to do is change "the group" to "the mainstream media". This is exactly what the media does, and what LaFrance is doing here herself. That is what the fake Corona scare is about: harnessing paranoia to manufacture compliance. Also notice that LaFrance the great writer at the big magazine can barely write. That first sentence is so clunky, at first I couldn't even make sense of it. My readers had to prompt me, telling me what she intended to say. "Harnesses paranoia to hope"? Is that even possible? Are paranoid people normally filled with hope or a sense of belonging? Not in my experience. And I don't think I have ever seen that combination of "fervent hope". I myself have never seen passionately intense hope, or experienced it myself. For me, hope is a fairly quiet emotion, always a little forced, and never passionate. But that is just me: I expect words and word combinations to make sense.

Next, LaFrance admits the FBI classed Q as a domestic terrorism threat in 2018. That just proves Q is a joint CIA/FBI project. They have manufactured their own enemy once again, to create fear and to have another fake project they can spend money addressing. Notice that this information supposedly comes from an "internal memo" from Phoenix's field office. To start with, if it is from an internal memo, then how does YahooNews know about it? Are they internal to the FBI? What about The Atlantic? Is The Atlantic internal to the FBI? Actually, the answer to both those questions is YES!
The mainstream media is just an arm of Intelligence. Once you figure that out, you will understand why they chose Phoenix's field office here as their code word: Phoenix=Phoenicians. That was not an accident, you see.

Does Q ever tell you anything that interesting? No, never.

Next, LaFrance tells us:

QAnon adherents are feared for ferociously attacking skeptics online.
That works both as a reversal and as a parallel. Ask yourself who really is most known for attacking skeptics of all kinds. Is it Q adherents? No. It is the mainstream media. It is mainstream science. It is mainstream academia. It is mainstream debunking websites, which exist by the thousands. Their job is to keep you right on the center stripe and to shame you if you ask any questions or notice any anomalies.

But this statement is also a parallel, since if anyone is known online for ferociously attacking his opposition, it isn't Q. It is me. I have a lovely habit of ripping my opponents to little shreds—which they make very easy—and I am doing it right now. Entire committees at Langley haven't been able to stand against me. But I don't attack skeptics, if what you mean by skeptics is people who question mainstream dogma. Just the reverse. I attack the people who are attacking those skeptics. I attack the fake skeptics like those agents hiding out at Snopes or the CIA-front Skeptics Society. I explode the reams of lies we are fed everyday, and the liars who are telling them. So if you aren't a lying agent, you have nothing to fear from me.

Next, LaFrance says this:

Q appeared for the frst time on 4chan, a so-called image board that is known for its grotesque memes, sickening photographs, and brutal teardown culture.

Hmmm, that's kind of true, but you could say that about almost any TV show, Hollywood movie, mainstream news show, or Modern art exhibit. That broad description now applies to society at large, doesn't it? Is LaFrance implying that Q and his followers are responsible for Modern society? No.
Who is responsible for creating Modern society? Well, that would be the billionaire and trillionaire

pete fairhurst 2 on Your Body's Hidden Technology: The Scalar Field Between Your Hands.

Gordon on Has the treatment of Lucy Letby been 'a miscarriage of justice'? | LBC

Links and Ads

The Tap is a free resource. To help keep it free please visit our advertisers and/or consider a small donation.





The Atlantic, by the way.* They also own 4chan and 8chan, since those are just two more CIA fronts. Next, LaFrance admits Q's message is one of fear. He constantly predicts a coming apocalypse and a financial meltdown. So, not that different from Alex Jones. It helps sell products, doesn't it? Nothing guarantees a brisk economy in doomsday products like fear. Q: brought to you by duct tape, bottled water, canned food, toilet paper, and useless weaponry. And now a word from our sponsors: Kimberley-Clark and Sturm Ruger.

This is one of many places the Parallel breaks down, since I am not selling fear. I am constantly trying to defuse it by talking sense to you. See my position on serial killers and mass murderers, as just one example. I am constantly reminding you it is all just a movie. It isn't really happening. Real people aren't dying of Corona, real people are not rioting on the streets, real statues are not being destroyed, real women and children are not being raped and murdered, real art is not being sold for millions of dollars. The mainstream media is one huge fake and conjob. About the only real thing happening is that the treasury is being constantly looted by the very rich, with these fake events as cover. Does Q ever tell you that? No.

Here is where the Parallel holds the best:

To believe Q requires rejecting mainstream institutions, ignoring government offcials, battling apostates, and despising the press.

Yep, that's about the size of it, but Q just took that from me. I had been leading the way there for years before anyone had heard of Q. And even there, there is a huge difference between Q and me. Q just says that, but I am the one who has proved it in thousands of papers. Q has done zero original research into current or historical events, while I have done more than anyone in history. I have blown more mainstream projects than Q has ever heard of. Do you know why? Because Q's job isn't to blow mainstream projects. He is a mainstream project himself, so his job is to bloviate and riddle, to wink and nudge, without ever telling you anything new. Q is nearly substance-free. Like a Hollywood movie, he is all special effects and camera angles. He is a greenscreen projected on a bluescreen. Which of course tells us his audience is just as fake as he is. LaFrance assures us Q has millions of followers, but most of those followers are logging on from Langley or the NSA or somewhere. No real person could be fascinated by Q, so we must assume they aren't. What has Q ever taught anyone that seems compelling? Not a damn thing. I give you more insights in one paragraph of one paper than Q has given you in three years of sour gumdrops.

We can tell this straight from LaFrance's article, which is again substance-free. She says nothing important about Q, either for or against him. You get to the end of the article having learned absolutely nothing. According to her description, Q is nothing but a pose, and so she takes the opposite pose. This is the way they want it, of course. They need your head to be empty, so everything they publish has to be made of formica and saccharine. It is all told by an idiot, full of sound and fury, signifying nothing.

Next, LaFrance gives you another clue, though it is I who will give it substance for you. She says, "At least 35 current or former congressional candidates have embraced Q, according to Media Matters for America." That's David Brock's baby, so you may want to look him up. He is another fascist pretending to be a liberal. Supposedly adopted as a child and supposedly Catholic. Right. Meaning, he's another crypto hiding his ancestry. Unfortunately for the story of his "liberalism", he came out of Berkeley, where he was an archconservative, founding the Berkeley Journal. He wrote for the Wall Street Journal while at Berkeley, his first piece being entitled "Combating those Campus Marxists". So, an agent from the beginning. He then worked for Insight on the News and the Heritage Foundation, neither one liberal at all, but both CIA fronts. In 1997 Brock claimed to switch sides, but no one awake should believe it. Clearly, his new assignment was to infiltrate the left, a job he has done very poorly. At this stage he actually called himself "a Jew in Hitler's army", which my readers should recognize as a bit too revelatory. Brock became a big supporter of the Clintons, which most use to retag him "liberal". But the Clintons were never liberal. They are blue fascists, so the tag is meaningless. Anyway, Media Matters promoting this information about congressional candidates should throw up a huge red flag, like everything else to do with that organization. As should the information itself. Why would all these candidates promote Q? Does that make any sense? Do you trust people who run for Congress these days? I don't. Given what we know, we should assume they are all from the families, even most of the losers. So the fact they are promoting Q is just one more indication he is a creation of Intelligence. These people are promoting him because they have been ordered to promote him. It is part of their current assignment.

Next we learn Q is promoting Coronavirus as real, which pegs him again as an Intel project. Does Q ever talk about the trillions just stolen from the worldwide treasuries by the big banks and investment groups, with the Fed as a front? Not that I know of. He wants your eyes on Comet Ping Pong and Tom Hanks and Lord knows what else. He is still pushing Pizzagate as of today, three years later. He might as well be pushing Cthulhu and Reptilian shape-changers from the planet Gorp.

LaFrance repeats one of Q's sour gumdrops, where he tells us that the elites "will stop at nothing to regain power." Regain? When did they lose it? Am I missing something? Are we supposed to believe that because Trump became President, the elites lost power? You have to be kidding me! No adult human being could possibly believe that. Koko the talking gorilla could have seen through that. Where do they think Trump was born—in a kennel? In the ghettoes? He is from wealthy Jewish families, grew up extremely wealthy, and is supposedly now a billionaire, so by definition he is elite. But Q's followers have one thing right: Q is just Trump, or more precisely his scriptwriting team. Q is the sad attempt to make Trump seem like a savior, by painting him as a swamp-cleaner, a representative of the religious, and an enemy of the elite. Nothing could be more absurd, or more contrary to the facts. Trump is elite, is not religious, despises Christians, and is in love with the CIA and Goldman Sachs. Those who think otherwise are just not paying attention. They are believing the lies again.

The funny thing is, both Q and LaFrance are controlling the opposition. Q pretends to be so dangerous, and LaFrance makes him seem even moreso, but he is a big schlump. The truth is, he doesn't even get a toe wet. He doesn't tell you 1/10,000th of what I have told you. He fingers a few "blackhats" here and there, but forgets to tell you everyone famous on both sides of every question is a blackhat, in every field and every period of history, including Trump. All the Presidents, all the Justices, all the Governors, all the scientists, all the historians, all the artists, all the actors, all the politicians, all the newscasters, all the directors, all the producers. As Gary Oldman said in The Professional, "EVERYONE!!!" They are all Jewish close cousins, and their families have been running the world via lies and conjobs for at least 4000 years. And that isn't some wild claim I make, based on a stray hair or on channeling aliens or on fake Q-level clearance: it is based on years of in-depth research, which is posted in plain English on the internet right now. All you have to do is read it. 98% of it is

USE CODE **TAP20** FOR A 20% DISCOUNT

ESD Event Services Directory
Event production, management and promotion businesses

Ecommerce-Help

Specialists in Ecommerce and Shopping Cart systems. We also offer WordPress setup, development, fixing and support plus remote online WordPress coaching.

WordPress

www.ecommerce-help.co.uk

www.ecommerce-help.co.uk/wordpress-services

Next, LaFrance pads out her pointless article by profiling several Q followers, the crazier the better. This is just blackwash 101. It would be like responding to me by finding my craziest readers and interviewing them. In other words, it means nothing. It is dishonest in the extreme, which is why we are not surprised to see this section being the longest in LaFrance's "argument". The "least crazy" one she briefly profiles is Dave Hayes, who just happens to have a Presidential last name, I guess. Among other things, she tells us he has videos that have been watched 33 million times. Surely you saw that coming?
Hayes calls himself the Praying Medic, and is currently working on a book named The Gates of Shiloh. He claims to live in Phoenix. OK, I think we have him pegged. No one had ever heard of him until recently, and his bio gives us no specific information. Just a lot of the usual blabbing. He tells us that in about 2008 he "had an encounter with Jesus in the bunk room of a fire station that forever changed my life". Let me stop laughing. The bunk room of a fire station? That's a new one. Was he in a bunk at the time? Was he clothed? Did he get any candid snaps of Jesus?

Like LaFrance, he is doing everything he can to blackwash Christianity.

You would have thought LaFrance would have bottomed out with that, but not even close. She has several sections left, although all of them are equally shallow. She just skims across subject after subject, including blabbing again about 4chan without telling us anything. We have to hear about a squabble between the owners of 8chan and 8kun, as if that is to the point. Then we get a section on "Reason v. Faith", in which neither one is exhibited. She drops the name of a Jewish professor at University of Miami, but just gives us a few tame one-liners from him before moving on to his mother, who is allegedly a Q-fan. The mother then says a few banal things that no one with a life could be interesting in hearing, and suddenly LaFrance has burned up several more hundred words, with us none the wiser to what she intends to say. In her last section "Apocalypse" she tries to tie Q-people to the Millerites who predicted a second coming in 1844. Though that didn't happen, it didn't stop the Seventh Day Adventists from growing and maintaining form to this day. So we are supposed to believe that crazy Christians can build their crazy castles on any amount of sand. Notice how Christians are being blackwashed from both sides here: both from Q and his followers and by LaFrance.

Unfortunately, she again holds back the punchline from you: William Miller was yet another blackhat, and like hundreds of other fake "dissenters", Protestants, and Millenarians over the centuries, his project was to splinter Christianity, because its laws against usury were standing in the way of trade. Miller was promoting Jacobus Arminius, a Dutch crypto-Jew from around 1600 whose father was a wealthy weapons manufacturer. He was born in Oudewater, a well-known Jewish stronghold in Utrecht whose beginnings are now hidden.

He was supposedly orphaned and adopted, the usual sob story. His adopted father was allegedly a Protestant priest. Jacobus studied under Theodore de Besze, who was a Burgundian of the royal lines. Note the nose. His father was royal Governor of Vezelay. Vezelay is one of the oldest cities in France, being a mining and trade center. It had been a Phoenician hub since before 2000BC.

To make a long story short, Protestantism was invented not only to counter Rome, but was also intended to be immediately split into as many warring factions as possible, to purposely splinter Christianity. Arminius was prominent in this early split, and Miller later brought his splitting technique to the US. So now you know why LaFrance mentioned it. It was not an accident. She is part of the same project.

Why do you think the Millerites became Seventh Day Adventists? That means their sabbath was on Saturday, like the Jews. Do you really think that is just a coincidence? C'mon! Learn to add 2 and 2. Ironically, Adrienne LaFrance unintentionally confirms even that point in her summation, where she says:

> Does it matter that basic aspects of Q's teachings cannot be confrmed? The basic tenets of Christianity cannot be confrmed. Among the people of QAnon, faith remains absolute. True believers describe a feeling of rebirth, an irreversible arousal to existential knowledge. They are certain that a Great Awakening is coming. They'll wait as long as they must for deliverance.
>
> Trust the plan. Enjoy the show. Nothing can stop what is coming.

Notice that she has to finish with a pointless swipe at Christianity, just in passing. She implies that Christianity is just as just as crazy and irrational as Q-worship, which I think you have to admit is a strange assertion to close on. It tells us far more about her than about Christianity or Q. Her entire article is just a compendium of off-the-cuff remarks, asides, brief encounters, and small attempts at Mesmerism. And her final line is especially suspicious, since for some reason she decides to parrot Q himself. How could she think that is a good idea? Are we supposed to think that is clever? I don't. What I think is that she is NOT being sardonic there. I think she is parroting Q to admit that she and Q are being paid by the same people. These people, the Phoenician overlords, are telling us they are invincible, and that we had better get used it.

As I have before, I turn the tables on them and repeat "Yes, you are correct, nothing can stop what is coming." Except that I mean something entirely different than what they mean. They mean that they own the Earth, and resistance to them is therefore futile. That may or may not be true, but it is of no consequence. It just shows that these sad people have never understood the point of life, and still aren't even close to understanding it. As they write and publish articles without knowing how to write or argue, they live life without knowing how to do that either. So they blunder along cluelessly, sniggering at their own unearned privilege, and end up reaching the end of their lives knowing no more than they did coming in—and possibly less. Do they really imagine their "ownership" of the Earth will be any consolation on their death beds, as they look back on this travesty they call a life?

I know what their answer will be. It is: Ah, you naive and idealistic little fool, haven't you yet figured out that we invented all the religions to control you people? This life is all there is, so you can't shame us or scare us with some sort of afterlife judgment. We invented all that hocus-pocus. Yes, we know the point of life, and that is to win, by any means necessary. Our wealth has bought us huge levels of comfort and power, which is what we wanted from the beginning, so we will die contented.

Bluff, as usual. Who of these demons has ever died contented? Even by their own shallow standards, they have failed. Not one of them goes out graciously, gratefully, or in contentment. We have seen their eyes: they can't even sleep contentedly, how could they possibly die contentedly? They may or may not have invented all the religions, but they did not invent the Earth. They may think they own the Earth, but they never created it. I don't need any invented or revealed religion to tell me that we weren't born here just to win by any means necessary. We weren't put here to "do as we will".

I have eyes that see, and what they see is this: the difference between these people and myself is that while I am admittedly discontented with the world around me, I am contented with myself. I sleep soundly and long because I know who I am. I have not “done as I wished”, damn the consequences. I have always cared about the consequences, for the Earth and for the other creatures on it. But the rulers of the Earth are just the opposite: they are contented with the world around them, since they have forced it to bow before them. It has taken their form and mirrors their own smallness. But they are discontented with themselves. They do not need any religion or god to tell them they have done wrong, since they know it regardless. They have heard the screams of those they have trampled underfoot. They have seen the destruction and squalor. They have witnessed their own families blown apart by their “successful theories”. They have watched as their own faces and bodies have turned to horror and putrefaction. They have seen this world they rule collapse into ugliness, pollution, alienation, fear, and chaos.

So although I do believe in an immortal soul, an afterlife, and life as a test, it hardly matters. I would act as I do even if I didn’t. If God does not judge us after death, Nature certainly does while we are alive. I can see that the rulers’ theories don’t even work during this short life. By studying the past lives of these prominent people, we can see these theories lead to an early and growing corruption, an awful and vulgar life, and usually a short one. If you want to live to a beautiful and healthy old age, the last thing you want to do is “do as thou wilt”. The last thing you want to do is chase riches and power. The last thing you want to do is lie all the time about everything. The last thing you want to do is work 10 hours a day, never sleep, and pursue fame. Gore Vidal’s advice to the young was “never miss a chance to have sex or be on TV”. My advice is “never miss a chance to tell the truth, expose a lie, or do a good deed”. Nature doesn’t need to see you on TV, but she will smile on your helping a frog cross the street.

Has Q ever told you that? Has Adrienne LaFrance? No, and they aren’t going to, either.

*The Atlantic was bought in 2017 by the Emerson Collective, which is allegedly owned by Steve Jobs’ widow. However, since that links us straight to Apple, and Apple is a front for Intelligence, that means Intelligence now owns The Atlantic pretty much directly. It always did, but now they barely even attempt to hide it.

Share this

Post Views: 200

LEAVE A REPLY

You must be logged in to post a comment.

This site is intended as an informational guide. The remedies approaches and techniques described hearin are meant to supplement, and not be a substitute for, professional, medical care or treatments. Any information is for entertainment purposes only. Any previous articles which prefix the **8th of February 2023** have no involvement in new upload to this site. Any Copy right infringements are not intended and any such should be made aware to the site for immediate withdraw. Articles posted here are for your consideration at your discretion. No purported facts have been verified. Articles do not necessarily reflect the views of the poster nor the site ov

Blog editor - editor[at]tapnewswire.com